1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	SEATTLE DIVISION		
10	MARY WOESSNER, an individual,		
11	Plaintiff,	CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-00275-JHC	
12	V.	ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT	
13		U.S.A., INC'S MOTIONS IN LIMINE	
14	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC., a foreign profit corporation, licensed do and doing business in Washington,		
15	_		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.'s		
19	Motions in Limine. Dkt. # 21. The Court has considered the materials filed in support of, and		
20	in opposition to, the motions, as well as the rest of the case file and the applicable law. Being		
21	fully advised, the Court ORDERS as follows:		
22	//		
23	//		
24	//		
25			
26	¹ No reply papers are to be filed in support of motions are noted for May 19, 2023, they are fully br	f motions in limine. LCR 7(d)(4). Although the riefed, and the Court may now rule on them.	
	ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A MOTIONS <i>IN LIMINE</i> (CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-00275-JHC)- Page 1	, INC.'S	

NO.	MATTER TO BE EXCLUDED	RULING
1.	All Parties Should be Required to Provide 24 Hours' Notice to Opposing Counsel of Their Intent to Call Witnesses at the Time of Trial [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
2.	Exclusion of All Non-Party Witnesses from the Courtroom During the Course of Trial [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
3.	Prohibition of Display of Any Exhibit or Demonstrative Evidence until the Exhibit Has Been Shown to Opposing Counsel and Permission by the Court Has Been Obtained to Use Any Such Exhibit [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
4.	Prohibition of Discussion or Comments on the Presence of the Parties or Available Witnesses Not Called to Testify at Trial [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denies [] Reserved
5.	Duty to Inform Witnesses of Motion in limine Orders Relevant to Them [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
6.	Exclusion of Evidence of or Reference to Home Depot's Size, Corporate Structure, Revenue or Ability to Pay [DISPUTED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
7.	Prohibition of References to Litigation Strategy, Tactics, or Motions [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
8.	Prohibition of Requests for a Witness to Comment on the Credibility of Other Witnesses [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
9.	Exclusion of Evidence Regarding Insurance [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
10.	Exclusion of References to Settlement Discussions and Offers [STIPULATED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
11.	Exclusion of Questioning at Voir Dire Regarding Specific Dollar Amounts or Ability to Commit to a Particular View or Position [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
12.	Prohibition of "Golden Rule" Arguments [STIPULATED IN PART]	[X] Granted [] Denied

ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* (CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-00275-JHC)- Page 2

		[] Reserved
13.	Exclusion of Testimony and Argument Which Essentially Seek Punitive Damages [DISPUTED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
14.	Exclusion of Prior Lawsuits Involving Home Depot Unrelated to this Matter [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
15.	Exclusion of Any Exhibits of any Laws or Regulations [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
16.	Exclude Any and All Evidence Offered By Any Witness Purporting To State a Legal Requirement or Violation of Any Government or Code Requirement be Deemed Inadmissible [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
17.	Exclusion of Opinions from Lay Witnesses Including Opinions Regarding Standard of Care, Causation and Medical Assessment [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
18.	Prohibition of References to Legal Conclusions Regarding "Fault" or "Duty of Care" During Examination of Witnesses [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
19.	Legal Conclusions from Experts [DISPUTED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
20.	Exclusion of Testimony and/or Opinions of Plaintiff's Health Care Providers [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [] Denied [X] Reserved
21.	Exclusion of any Testimony and Evidence from David Spanier, M.D. regarding permanence of Plaintiff's injuries [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [X] Denied [] Reserved
22.	Exclusion of any Testimony and Evidence from Levi Dixon, M.S., CSLT Which Exceeds his Scope of Expertise and/or Report [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [X] Denied [] Reserved
23.	Exclusion of Evidence of Subsequent Remedial Measures [DISPUTED]	[X] Granted [] Denied [] Reserved
24.	Exclusion of Any Testimony or Reference to the Cost of Bird Mitigation Alternatives, Specifically Netting [DISPUTED]	[] Granted [X] Denied

ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* (CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-00275-JHC)- Page 3

,			[] Reserved	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	25.	Exclusion of Any Testimony or Reference to Bird Droppings Being the Cause of Plaintiff's Fall [DISPUTED]	[] Granted	
3		[DISPUTED]	[X] Denied [] Reserved	
4				
5	DATED this 16th day of May, 2023 in Seattle, Washington.			
6				
7	John H. Chun			
8	JÖHN H. CHUN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
	ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.'S			

ORDER RE: DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.'S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE* (CAUSE NO. 2:22-CV-00275-JHC)- Page 4